

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The focus is not on the directing new housing towards brownfield sites in my experience. Proposals in my local area involve the destruction of considerable areas of greenbelt rather than brownfield sites and rather than using existing infrastructure, new services will be required.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Reconsider the plans where greenbelt land is to be used.
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Based on recent new developments the so called "affordable" housing will be beyond the resources of young people and families.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Consider housing which is available to young people and families with subsidised rent rather than purchase.
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The proposal will destroy greenbelt in my local area and fails to place any value therefore on landscapes.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Modify the plans so that existing greenbelt is not used.
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	More houses will increase traffic, pollution and destruction of existing greenbelt will have an impact on green infrastructure.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the</b>	Remove all plans for development on existing greenbelt.

<b>plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The plans to build around the Manchester, Bury and Bolton Canal area will have a negative impact on existing wildlife and surely increase flood risks.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove all plans to build around the canal area.
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The proposed building of 3500 houses around Elton Reservoir completely contradicts this policy.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Do not build 3500 houses around the Elton Reservoir area.
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to</b>	The proposals will destroy much of the green spaces around my local area, thus contradicting this policy.

<b>co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Do not build on any existing greenbelt.
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The plans for my local area do not minimise use of greenbelt, indeed this seems to be first choice rather than brownfield sites.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance</b>	Do not build on greenbelt, modify the plans so that brownfield sites are used first.

<b>or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Southward
<b>Given Name</b>	Carl
<b>Person ID</b>	1287394
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	The planned development will destroy much of the greenbelt in the area and have a negative impact on natural habitats for wildlife. The development will increase traffic even with the planned transport links. The area is one of flood risk and although measures are being taken to reduce risk, the building of additional houses and associated services will surely increase the risk of flooding elsewhere. With regard to legal compliance I feel that this is not being met, since work as already started on some of these proposals without consultation. Exemplified by the destruction of habitat for migrating Sand Martins on the banks of the Irwell. This work seems to be required to reduce flooding in the area of the proposed Metrolink Station rather than any present need. Work already carried out in the area, for "flood protection and bank protection" of the reservoir has been done with no or little regard for the protection of other natural habitats. Bury Council have failed to give any notice of the proposals in a clear manner. A letter was sent by the Chief Executive, but this only referred to my immediate area. I have only found out that the greenbelt around Elton was at risk by way of social media. A notice was posted by Bury Council about this consultation and the impact of the proposed developments around the Elton area, but this was simply stapled to a fence using a plastic wallet, it was obviously designed not to be durable.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	It already appears that the proposed developments have started in the area, such as preparations for the new Metrolink station. This work should be halted with immediate effect and only restarted after full consultation. Bury Council should rectify the lack of information about the proposals by better publicity and sending residents further information not limited to their immediate area.